

VOL X

# AGRÁRIAS

PESQUISA E INOVAÇÃO NAS CIÊNCIAS QUE  
ALIMENTAM O MUNDO

EDUARDO EUGÊNIO  
SPERS  
(Organizador)

 EDITORA  
ARTEMIS

2023

VOL X

# AGRÁRIAS

PESQUISA E INOVAÇÃO NAS CIÊNCIAS QUE  
ALIMENTAM O MUNDO

EDUARDO EUGÊNIO  
SPERS  
(Organizador)

 EDITORA  
ARTEMIS

2023



O conteúdo deste livro está licenciado sob uma Licença de Atribuição Creative Commons Atribuição-Não-Comercial NãoDerivativos 4.0 Internacional (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). Direitos para esta edição cedidos à Editora Artemis pelos autores. Permitido o download da obra e o compartilhamento, desde que sejam atribuídos créditos aos autores, e sem a possibilidade de alterá-la de nenhuma forma ou utilizá-la para fins comerciais.

A responsabilidade pelo conteúdo dos artigos e seus dados, em sua forma, correção e confiabilidade é exclusiva dos autores. A Editora Artemis, em seu compromisso de manter e aperfeiçoar a qualidade e confiabilidade dos trabalhos que publica, conduz a avaliação cega pelos pares de todos manuscritos publicados, com base em critérios de neutralidade e imparcialidade acadêmica.

<b>Editora Chefe</b>	Prof. <sup>a</sup> Dr. <sup>a</sup> Antonella Carvalho de Oliveira
<b>Editora Executiva</b>	M. <sup>a</sup> Viviane Carvalho Mocellin
<b>Direção de Arte</b>	M. <sup>a</sup> Bruna Bejarano
<b>Diagramação</b>	Elisangela Abreu
<b>Organizador</b>	Prof. Dr. Eduardo Eugênio Spers
<b>Imagem da Capa</b>	Shutterstock
<b>Bibliotecário</b>	Maurício Amormino Júnior – CRB6/2422

#### Conselho Editorial

Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Ada Esther Portero Ricol, *Universidad Tecnológica de La Habana “José Antonio Echeverría”*, Cuba  
Prof. Dr. Adalberto de Paula Paranhos, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Agustín Olmos Cruz, *Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México*, México  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Amanda Ramalho de Freitas Brito, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Ana Clara Monteverde, *Universidad de Buenos Aires*, Argentina  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Ana Júlia Viamonte, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto (ISEP), Portugal  
Prof. Dr. Ángel Mujica Sánchez, *Universidad Nacional del Altiplano*, Peru  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Angela Ester Mallmann Centenaro, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Begoña Blandón González, *Universidad de Sevilla*, Espanha  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Carmen Pimentel, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Catarina Castro, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Cirila Cervera Delgado, *Universidad de Guanajuato*, México  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Cláudia Neves, Universidade Aberta de Portugal  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Cláudia Padovesi Fonseca, Universidade de Brasília-DF, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Cleberton Correia Santos, Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. David García-Martul, *Universidad Rey Juan Carlos de Madrid*, Espanha  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Deuzimar Costa Serra, Universidade Estadual do Maranhão, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Dina Maria Martins Ferreira, Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Edith Luévano-Hipólito, *Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León*, México  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Eduarda Maria Rocha Teles de Castro Coelho, Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal  
Prof. Dr. Eduardo Eugênio Spers, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Eloi Martins Senhoras, Universidade Federal de Roraima, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>a</sup> Dr.<sup>a</sup> Elvira Laura Hernández Carballido, *Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo*, México



Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Emilas Darlene Carmen Lebus, *Universidad Nacional del Nordeste/ Universidad Tecnológica Nacional, Argentina*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Erla Mariela Morales Morgado, *Universidad de Salamanca, Espanha*  
Prof. Dr. Ernesto Cristina, *Universidad de la República, Uruguay*  
Prof. Dr. Ernesto Ramírez-Briones, *Universidad de Guadalajara, México*  
Prof. Dr. Fernando Hitt, *Université du Québec à Montréal, Canadá*  
Prof. Dr. Gabriel Díaz Cobos, *Universitat de Barcelona, Espanha*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Gabriela Gonçalves, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto (ISEP), Portugal  
Prof. Dr. Geoffroy Roger Pointier Malpass, Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Gladys Esther Leoz, *Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Argentina*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Glória Beatriz Álvarez, *Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina*  
Prof. Dr. Gonçalo Poeta Fernandes, Instituto Politécnico da Guarda, Portugal  
Prof. Dr. Gustavo Adolfo Juarez, *Universidad Nacional de Catamarca, Argentina*  
Prof. Dr. Håkan Karlsson, *University of Gothenburg, Suécia*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Iara Lúcia Tescarollo Dias, Universidade São Francisco, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Isabel del Rosario Chiyon Carrasco, *Universidad de Piura, Peru*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Isabel Yohena, *Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina*  
Prof. Dr. Ivan Amaro, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Iván Ramon Sánchez Soto, *Universidad del Bío-Bío, Chile*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Ivânia Maria Carneiro Vieira, Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Brasil  
Prof. Me. Javier Antonio Albornoz, *University of Miami and Miami Dade College, Estados Unidos*  
Prof. Dr. Jesús Montero Martínez, *Universidad de Castilla - La Mancha, Espanha*  
Prof. Dr. João Manuel Pereira Ramalho Serrano, Universidade de Évora, Portugal  
Prof. Dr. Joaquim Júlio Almeida Júnior, UniFIMES - Centro Universitário de Mineiros, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Jorge Ernesto Bartolucci, *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*  
Prof. Dr. José Cortez Godínez, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, México  
Prof. Dr. Juan Carlos Cancino Diaz, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México  
Prof. Dr. Juan Carlos Mosquera Feijoo, *Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Espanha*  
Prof. Dr. Juan Diego Parra Valencia, *Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano de Medellín, Colômbia*  
Prof. Dr. Juan Manuel Sánchez-Yáñez, *Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, México*  
Prof. Dr. Júlio César Ribeiro, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Leinig Antonio Perazolli, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Livia do Carmo, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Luciane Spanhol Bordignon, Universidade de Passo Fundo, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Luis Fernando González Beltrán, *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*  
Prof. Dr. Luis Vicente Amador Muñoz, *Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Espanha*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Macarena Esteban Ibáñez, *Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Espanha*  
Prof. Dr. Manuel Ramiro Rodríguez, *Universidad Santiago de Compostela, Espanha*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Márcia de Souza Luz Freitas, Universidade Federal de Itajubá, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Marcos Augusto de Lima Nobre, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Marcos Vinicius Meiado, Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Mar Garrido Román, *Universidad de Granada, Espanha*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Margarida Márcia Fernandes Lima, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> María Alejandra Arecco, *Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Maria Aparecida José de Oliveira, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Maria Carmen Pastor, *Universitat Jaume I, Espanha*  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Maria do Céu Caetano, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Maria do Socorro Saraiva Pinheiro, Universidade Federal do Maranhão, Brasil  
Prof.<sup>ª</sup> Dr.<sup>ª</sup> Maria Gracinda Carvalho Teixeira, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil



Prof.ª Dr.ª Maria Lúcia Pato, Instituto Politécnico de Viseu, Portugal  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Maritza González Moreno, *Universidad Tecnológica de La Habana, Cuba*  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Mauriceia Silva de Paula Vieira, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brasil  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Ninfa María Rosas-García, Centro de Biotecnología Genómica-Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Odara Horta Boscolo, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Osbaldo Turpo-Gebera, *Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa, Peru*  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Patrícia Vasconcelos Almeida, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brasil  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Paula Arcoverde Cavalcanti, Universidade do Estado da Bahia, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Rodrigo Marques de Almeida Guerra, Universidade Federal do Pará, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Saulo Cerqueira de Aguiar Soares, Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Sergio Bitencourt Araújo Barros, Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Sérgio Luiz do Amaral Moretti, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Silvia Inés del Valle Navarro, *Universidad Nacional de Catamarca, Argentina*  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Solange Kazumi Sakata, Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN)- USP, Brasil  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Stanislava Kashtanova, *Saint Petersburg State University, Russia*  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Teresa Cardoso, Universidade Aberta de Portugal  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Teresa Monteiro Seixas, Universidade do Porto, Portugal  
Prof. Dr. Valter Machado da Fonseca, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brasil  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Vanessa Bordin Viera, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Brasil  
Prof.ª Dr.ª Vera Lúcia Vasilévski dos Santos Araújo, Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Brasil  
Prof. Dr. Wilson Noé Garcés Aguilar, *Corporación Universitaria Autónoma del Cauca, Colômbia*  
Prof. Dr. Xosé Somoza Medina, *Universidad de León, Espanha*

**Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP)**  
**(eDOC BRASIL, Belo Horizonte/MG)**

A277 Agrárias [livro eletrônico] : pesquisa e inovação nas ciências que alimentam o mundo X / Organizador Eduardo Eugênio Spers. – Curitiba, PR: Artemis, 2023.

Formato: PDF

Requisitos de sistema: Adobe Acrobat Reader

Modo de acesso: World Wide Web

Inclui bibliografia

Edição bilíngue

ISBN 978-65-81701-05-5

DOI 10.37572/EdArt\_301123055

1. Ciências agrárias – Pesquisa. 2. Agronegócio. 3. Sustentabilidade.  
I. Spers, Eduardo Eugênio.

CDD 630

**Elaborado por Maurício Amormino Júnior – CRB6/2422**



## APRESENTAÇÃO

As Ciências Agrárias são um campo de estudo multidisciplinar por excelência, e um dos mais profícuos em termos de pesquisas e aprimoramento técnico. A demanda mundial por alimentos e a crescente degradação ambiental impulsionam a busca constante por soluções sustentáveis de produção e por medidas visando à preservação e recuperação dos recursos naturais.

A obra **Agrárias: Pesquisa e Inovação nas Ciências que Alimentam o Mundo** compila pesquisas atuais e extremamente relevantes, apresentadas em linguagem científica de fácil entendimento. Na coletânea, o leitor encontrará textos que tratam dos sistemas produtivos em seus diversos aspectos, além de estudos que exploram diferentes perspectivas ou abordagens sobre a planta, o meio ambiente, o animal, o homem e a sociedade no ambiente rural.

É uma obra que fornece dados, informações e resultados de pesquisas tanto para pesquisadores e atuantes nas diversas áreas das Ciências Agrárias, como para o leitor que tenha a curiosidade de entender e expandir seus conhecimentos.

Este Volume X traz 14 trabalhos de estudiosos de diversos países, divididos em dois eixos temáticos: *Produtividade e eficiência na produção vegetal* e *Sustentabilidade e reaproveitamento produtivo*.

Desejo a todos uma ótima leitura!

Eduardo Eugênio Spers

## SUMÁRIO

### PRODUTIVIDADE E EFICIÊNCIA NA PRODUÇÃO VEGETAL

#### **CAPÍTULO 1..... 1**

HIDROGELES DE QUITOSANO Y POLIACRILAMIDA SOBRE LAS PROPIEDADES EDÁFICAS Y EL CRECIMIENTO DE *Lupinus exaltatus*

Néstor Gutiérrez Pérez

Elizabeth García Gallegos

Oscar Gumersindo Vázquez Cuecuecha

Elizabeth Hernández Acosta

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230551](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230551)

#### **CAPÍTULO 2..... 10**

FERTILIZANTE DE LENTA LIBERACIÓN COMPLEMENTARIO AL FERTIRRIEGO Y SU EFECTO EN PRODUCCIÓN DE LIMA MEXICANA

José C. García-Preciado

Silvia H. Carrillo Medrano

Miguel A. Manzanilla Ramírez

María Guzmán Martínez

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230552](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230552)

#### **CAPÍTULO 3..... 17**

COLORIMETRIC CHARACTERISATION OF TROPICAL WOODS

José Amador Honorato-Salazar

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230553](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230553)

#### **CAPÍTULO 4..... 26**

PANORAMA AGROECONÓMICO DE LA GUANÁBANA (*Annona muricata*) EN AMÉRICA

Emma Gloria Ramos Ramírez

Carlos García Pérez

María del Pilar Méndez Castrejón

Juan Alfredo Salazar Montoya

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230554](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230554)

**CAPÍTULO 5..... 38**

DESCRIPCIÓN FÍSICA DE SEMILLAS DE GENOTIPOS DE MAÍZ AZUL

Germán Fernando Gutiérrez-Hernández

José Luis Arellano-Vázquez

Luis Fernando Ceja-Torres

Estela Flores-Gómez

Patricia Vázquez-Lozano

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230555](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230555)

**CAPÍTULO 6..... 44**

IDENTIFICACIÓN MOLECULAR DE MALEZAS TOLERANTES AL GLIFOSATO

David Antonio Moreno Medina

Carmen Yazmin Rojas Cardona

Alma Cuellar Sánchez

Victor Becerra Ruiz

Esteban Montiel Palacios

José Luis Gadea Pacheco

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230556](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230556)

**CAPÍTULO 7..... 53**

ENFERMEDADES DE IMPORTANCIA ECONÓMICA EN EL CULTIVO DE LA CAÑA DE AZÚCAR (*SACCHARUM* SPP.) EN MÉXICO

Manuel de Jesús Bermúdez Guzmán

Francisco Javier Delgado Virgen

Jeovani Francisco Cervantes Preciado

Mario Orozco Santos

Claudia Yared Michel López

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230557](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230557)

**CAPÍTULO 8..... 88**

APERTURAS Y ESTRATEGIAS COMO MÉTODO EN LA ENSEÑANZA AGROPECUARIA

Rafael Menendez

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230558](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230558)



**CAPÍTULO 9.....92**

COMPOSTAJE-VERMICOMPOSTAJE, APROVECHAMIENTO SECUENCIAL DE RESIDUOS VINÍCOLAS: PRIMEROS RESULTADOS

Manuela Andrés Abellán  
Marta Isabel Picazo Córdoba  
Consolación Wic Baena  
Manuela Rubio García  
Rocío Ballesteros González  
Francisco Ramón López Serrano  
Francisco Antonio García Morote  
Eva María Rubio Caballero  
Soledad Ramírez Guijarro  
José Manuel Flores López-Pintor  
Carlos García Izquierdo

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_3011230559](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3011230559)

**CAPÍTULO 10..... 100**

BIOPROCESOS PARA EL TRATAMIENTO DE FECAS CANINAS

Ian Homer Bannister  
María Teresa Varnero  
Fabian Abarza Villalobos

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_30112305510](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30112305510)

**CAPÍTULO 11..... 114**

AS BEBIDAS DE LEGUMINOSAS COMO ALTERNATIVA AO LEITE: BEBIDAS DE GRÃO-DE-BICO E DE TREMOÇO COM DIGESTIBILIDADE MELHORADA E POTENCIAL BIOACTIVO PARA A SAÚDE HUMANA

Carla Margarida Duarte  
Joana Mota Guerreiro  
Ricardo Manuel Assunção  
Carla Martins  
Ana Cristina Ribeiro  
Ana Isabel Lima  
Anabela Raymundo  
Maria Cristiana Nunes  
Ricardo Boavida Ferreira

Isabel de Sousa

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_30112305511](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30112305511)

**CAPÍTULO 12 .....142**

USO DE ACOLCHADOS PLÁSTICOS PARA REDUCIR EL IMPACTO DEL HUANGLONGBING Y PROMOVER PRECOCIDAD DE LA PRODUCCIÓN EN LIMÓN MEXICANO

Mario Orozco Santos

Manuel de Jesús Bermúdez Guzmán

Karina de la Paz García Mariscal

José Concepción García Preciado

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_30112305512](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30112305512)

**CAPÍTULO 13 .....162**

MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF MIXED PIGS FOR SUSTAINABILITY IN THE LEGAL AMAZON, BRAZIL

Leandro Macedo Miranda

Thiago Machado da Silva Acioly

Diego Carvalho Viana

Valene da Silva Amarante

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_30112305513](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30112305513)

**CAPÍTULO 14 .....172**

MICROORGANISMOS E RESÍDUO AGROINDUSTRIAL VISANDO INCREMENTOS NA FERTILIDADE DE UM SOLO DEGRADADO

Jéssica Alves de Oliveira

Diego Gonçalves Feitosa

Flávia Mendes dos Santos Lourenço

Katia Luciene Maltoni

Ana Maria Rodrigues Cassiolato

 [https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt\\_30112305514](https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30112305514)

**SOBRE O ORGANIZADOR.....183**

**ÍNDICE REMISSIVO .....184**

# CAPÍTULO 13

## MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF MIXED PIGS FOR SUSTAINABILITY IN THE LEGAL AMAZON, BRAZIL

Data de submissão: 29/09/2023

Data de aceite: 23/10/2023

### Leandro Macedo Miranda

State University of Maranhão  
Postgraduate in Animal Science  
(PPGCA/UEMA)  
São Luís, Brazil

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9979-7288>

### Thiago Machado da Silva Acioly

State University of Maranhão  
Postgraduate in Animal Science  
(PPGCA/UEMA)  
São Luís, Brazil

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2849-5554>

### Diego Carvalho Viana

State University of the Tocantina  
Region of Maranhão (UEMASUL)  
Imperatriz, Maranhão  
Postgraduate Program in  
Animal Science at the  
State University of Maranhão  
(PPGCA/UEMA)  
São Luís, Brazil

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3302-9892>

### Valene da Silva Amarante

State University of Maranhão  
São Luís, Brazil

genetic improvement of breeds to meet the demand for pork. The morphological characterization of crossbred swine breeds is of great importance within programs for the conservation of animal genetic resources, as it makes it possible to differentiate genetic groups within species, based on quantitative and qualitative variables. Given the above, the objective of the study was to evaluate the morphological characterization and zoometric indices of crossbred pigs from the municipality of São Luís, Maranhão, Brazil. The information collected related to the biometric variables, the zoometric indices, and the characteristics of the exterior, through the application of the form and measurement of measurements with the aid of a hip. The crossbred swine population studied is well adapted, about the presence of bristles, these were present in 100% of the animals. The animals presented well-developed and uniform nipples. The ultraconcauillin profile was not observed in the animals. São Luís crossbred pigs showed adequate morphometric and zootechnical characteristics for production.

**KEYWORDS:** Animal production. Morphometry. Adaptability. Animal protein.

CARACTERIZAÇÃO MORFOLÓGICA  
DO SUÍNO MESTICO PARA A  
SUSTENTABILIDADE NA AMAZÔNIA  
LEGAL, BRASIL

**ABSTRACT:** The world scenario presents a constant and growing concern with the

**RESUMO:** O cenário mundial apresenta uma preocupação constante e crescente

com o melhoramento genético de raças para satisfazer a demanda por carne suína e proteína animal. A caracterização morfológica de raças cruzadas de suínos é de grande importância dentro dos programas de conservação dos recursos genéticos animais, pois permite a diferenciação de grupos genéticos dentro das espécies, com base em variáveis quantitativas e qualitativas. O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a caracterização morfológica e os índices zoométricos de suínos mestiços do município de São Luís, Maranhão, Brasil. As informações coletadas foram relacionadas a variáveis biométricas, índices zoométricos e características exteriores, através da aplicação da forma e aferição de medidas com auxílio de um quadril. A população suína mista estudada está bem adaptada em relação à presença de porcas, que estiveram presentes em 100% dos animais. Os animais apresentavam mamilos bem desenvolvidos e uniformes. A característica do perfil ultraconcavilíneo não foi observada nos animais. Os suínos mestiços de São Luís apresentaram características morfométricas e zootécnicas adequadas para produção.

**PALAVRAS-CHAVE:** Produção animal. Morfometria. Adaptabilidade. Proteína animal.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The world scenario of pork has a constant and growing concern with the genetic improvement of breeds to meet the demand from the consumer market, where Brazil presents itself as the fourth largest producer and aims to conquer new markets through technological advances <sup>(1)</sup>. However, the Brazilian pig breeds that stood out the most are formed from animals descended from wild boars that were introduced in Brazil during the colonial period. These animals in turn have characteristics such as rusticity, adaptability to poor conditions of administration and feeding, in addition to great resistance to diseases <sup>(2)</sup>.

Currently, Brazilian pig farming is practiced mainly by small family farmers in the Northeast region, where there are still basic obstacles to the production chain, such as the low level of education of workers and the discredit of new forms of production <sup>[18]</sup>. Considering this context, morphological characterization has great importance within genetic resource conservation programs, based on quantitative and qualitative variables. Which it is necessary to analyze the morphological, productive, functional, reproductive, and behavioral aspects, in addition to the genetic aspects to obtain a complete racial characterization <sup>(3, 4)</sup>.

The similarities and differences between commercial and native breeds are important to identify and evaluate their origins and possible sources of genes for the genetic improvement of herds. The size of the animal is also needed to determine diet and housing requirements <sup>(5)</sup>. The knowledge of the genetic, morphological, and productive characteristics of the breed, as well as its products, is essential for the constitution of a rational production system <sup>(6)</sup>.

Few studies have yet been carried out on the diversity of naturalized pig breeds in South American countries. The lack of information makes in-depth analysis more difficult, especially due to the high expressiveness of Brazilian industrial production covering the importance of subsistence pig farming. Increasing the diversity of production on small farms through the introduction of other agricultural and livestock species can improve the small production of food and nutrition through subsistence. It is well known that small producers families generally consume a considerable part of what they produce at home, and this increases agrobiodiversity, according to research carried out in Ethiopia, on average, subsistence production is responsible for 58% of the calorie consumption of rural area families <sup>(7)</sup>. Given the above, the objective of this study was to evaluate the morphological characterization and zoometric indices of crossbred pigs in the municipality of São Luís, Maranhão, as a way to standardize the biometry of the animals studied.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 STUDY REGION

This work was carried out in the municipality of São Luís, capital of the state of Maranhão, Brazil. The municipality has 1,014,837 inhabitants spread over an area of 835 km<sup>2</sup>. It is 2° 31' 47" latitude, 44° 18' 10" longitude, and at an altitude of 24,391 m. It is limited to the Atlantic Ocean, to the North; with the Estreito dos Mosquitos, to the South; with São Marcos Bay, to the West <sup>(8)</sup>. The climate is hot and humid (tropical), with two seasons: the rainy (January to June), with an average rainfall of 1,954mm, and the drought (July to December). The temperature varies between 28°C and 30°C <sup>(9)</sup>.

To standardize data in the Northeast region and for possible comparison with existing data. This work was based on the methodology applied by Silva Filha <sup>(10)</sup>. The study was developed through visits to breeders, information related to biometric variables was collected, zoometric indices and outdoor features, through the application of the form and measuring the measurements with the help of a hip in which the information found was used for the racial evaluation of the pigs. 55 animals from a total of 5 crossbreed breeders were evaluated.

The selection of animals to be measured in this study was defined based on criteria such as age (preferably over six months); individuals being of different mothers; coat pattern with predominance in the municipality. To obtain the biometric variables (Table 1), the zoometric indices, the racial and functional evaluation of the animals studied, as well as for the characterization of the animals' exterior <sup>(10,11)</sup>.

Table 1. Measurements made to animals in studies and their correspondence in letters.

Biometric variable	Measurement zone	Measuring instrument
Height to Withers HW	Distance measured from the ground to the highest point of the withers	Hypometer
Height to dorsum HD	Distance measured from the ground to the highest point on the dorsum	Hypometer
Height to rump HR	Vertical distance from the ground to the point where the lumbar region joins the rump	Hypometer
Height to tail insertion HTI	Vertical distance between the ground and the base of the tail implant	Hypometer
Hight to Leg HL	Vertical distance between the ground and the end of the rump	Hypometer
Head length HL	Distance from the base of the occipital to the coccygeal vertebrae	Measuring tape
Body length BL	Distance measured from the outer occipital protuberance to the tip of the snout	Measuring tape
Snout lenght SL	Distance measured from the fronto-nasal suture to the tip of the snout	Hypometer
Rump lenght RL	Distance measured from the external iliac tuberosity (hip tip) to the buttock tip	Hypometer
Ear lenght EL	Distance measured between the central point of the ear base and the ear apex	Measuring tape
Leg lenght LL	Distance measured from the termination of the buttock region to the tip of conversion	Measuring tape
Neck length NL	Distance measured from the end of the ear base to the beginning of the palette	Measuring tape
Inter-orbital distance ID	Measured distance between both frontal apophyses	Hypometer
Head width HW	Distance measured between both temporal apophyses	Hypometer
Snout width SW	Distance measured between the base of both canines	Hypometer
Rump width RW	Measured distance between both external iliac tuberosity	Hypometer
Ear width EW	Distance measured between both edges of the ear	Measuring tape
Abdominal Perimeter AP	Surrounding the body in the lumbar region	Measuring tape
Cannon Perimeter CP	Surrounding the middle third of the metacarpal	Measuring tape
Thoracic perimeter TP	Surrounding the body in the thoracic region	Measuring tape

For the calculation of the zoometric indices, where three indices (cephalic, facial, and pelvic) were used, based on biometric measurements. Such calculations are often used as indicators of racial diagnosis <sup>(10, 11)</sup>, using the following formulas:

- 1) Cephalic Index (CI): (Head Width) / (Head Length) x 100
- 2) Pelvic Index (PI): (Rump width) / (Rump Length) x 100
- 3) Cannon Load Index (CLI): (Cannon Perimeter) / (Estimated weight)

Weight was estimated using biometric measurements (transformed into meters) PT e CC <sup>(12)</sup>, using the equation:

$$\text{Weight} = [(PT \times PT) \times CC] \times 69,3$$

For the exterior characterization, eight variables were used, these being: ear type; cephalic profile; fur; mucous; legs; foot; number of teats, and gender. The statistical design was descriptive using the means obtained between the variables.

### 3 RESULTS

We obtained the descriptive statistics through the biometrics performed (Table 2). Based on the biometric measurements obtained, we calculated (in %) the main zoometric indices to classify the study population (Table 3).

Table 2. Averages of the biometric measurements of the pigs analyzed in the city of São Luís- MA.

Biometric variable	Averages
HW	67,57
HD	71,80
HR	73,54
HTI	63,00
HL	123,54
HL	28,89
BL	15,32
SL	23,18
RL	19,21
EL	26,16
LL	16,55
NL	11,3
ID	12,91
HW	9,21
SW	24,00
RW	15,29
EW	118,62
AP	18,23
CP	115,11

Table 3. Main zoometric indexes (em %).

WEIGHT	CI	PI	CLI
112,72	44,68	103,53	16,17

The animal's general and qualitative data, the crossbreed swine population studied is well adapted, and the fur color was white (61.53%) for the majority of the pigs observed, which demonstrates the strong influence of the Landrace and Large White breeds in the racial composition of swine from São Luís - MA. Regarding the presence of bristles, they were present in 100% of the animals.

The type of Asian ear was predominant with 55%, followed by the Iberian type with 27% and the Celtic type with 18%. It was also observed superiority to the animals that have the ear with a rectilinear cephalic profile (50%), Superiority was also observed, followed by animals with a concavilinous cephalic profile (42%), and subconcaviline (8%). For the ultraconcavillin characteristic, no animals with this profile were found. The animals presented well-developed and uniform nipples, where most had 14 teats (Table 4).

Table 4. Number of teats per animal and percentage found.

Number of teats per animal	Percentage (%)
10	5,45
11	1,81
12	25,45
13	9,09
14	52,72
16	5,45

## 4 DISCUSSION

Native pigs are a valuable genetic reserve that can be used to recover the organoleptic properties of pork meat <sup>(13)</sup>. However, studies have shown that the Mexican Pelon Pig is evolving from traditional breeding systems to other (intensive and extensive) business systems where rusticity and adaptability are used, leaving behind the concept of animal genetic resources at risk and ensuring their presence in the immediate future <sup>(14)</sup>.

The averages obtained in the present study were higher than the municipalities of Curimataú Paraibano, with the exception only of body length (BL) which demonstrated inferiority for the municipalities of Tacima (28,92), Cuité (29,16), Santa Rosa (29,77) and Remígio (32,06) <sup>(10)</sup>, showing superiority on the part of São Luís-Ma crossbred pigs.



Regarding the Alcobaça spotted pigs crossbred pigs showed inferiority in all studied variables <sup>(11)</sup>. However, São Luís cross-bred pigs showed superiority over New Guinea Creole pigs <sup>(15)</sup>.

Attention should be given to the cephalic index (CI) as the best indicator of the expression of racial diagnosis <sup>(10, 11)</sup>. Considering the CI of the pigs measured in São Luís, they presented a higher value (44,68%) to the native pigs of Curimataú Paraibano (37,38%), to New Guinea (42,51%), and a lower value than Alcobaça spotted (59,61%). The animals from São Luís presented CI close to the animals from New Guinea, both being classified together as dolichocephalics, that is, with an enlarged skull <sup>(15)</sup>. Skull-related characteristics are strongly influenced by race and gender, however, the environment infers low performance on these attributes.

The PI was lower than that of the pigs from Curimataú Paraibano (127,79%), superior to Alcobaça spotted (101,91%) and New Guinea (86,87%). The ICC of crossbred pigs in São Luís was higher than that of native pigs measured <sup>(10, 11, 15)</sup>, demonstrating that the animals of São Luís have a greater aptitude for meat production. The weight was lower for crossbred pigs from São Luís, only, compared to Alcobaça spotted with an average of 112,72kg and 230,06kg respectively <sup>(11)</sup>.

Therefore, for the external characterization, a superiority was observed in the animals that have the ear with a rectilinear cephalic profile (50%), followed by animals with a concavilinous cephalic profile (42%), and subconcaviline (8%). For the ultraconcavillin characteristic, no animals with this profile were found. The type of Asian ear was predominant with 55%, followed by the Iberian type with 27% and the Celtic type with 18%. Regarding the presence of bristles, they were present in 100% of the animals, and the fur color was white (61,53%) for most of the pigs observed, which demonstrates the strong influence of the Landrace and Large White breeds on the racial composition of the pigs of São Luís-MA <sup>(10, 11, 15)</sup>.

For the variable, several teats pairs, authors <sup>(10)</sup> found in their study with native pigs that 5%, 33%, 50%, and 11.5% of the animals studied had 4, 5, 6, and 7 pairs of teats, respectively, that is, only 11.5% of the animals, animals had 14 teats. None of the animals had a number less than 12 <sup>(11)</sup>. In this study, most of them had 14 teats (52.72%), which shows that crossbred pigs have a better maternal ability compared to native breeds (Landrace e Large White). Was observed that the morphometric variability detected in pigs in Mexico's rural areas can be attributed to the differences in the management and environment systems in which they developed to the genetic diversity existing between them <sup>(16)</sup>. Swine external morphometry studies may contribute to the advancement of advanced medical research details <sup>(17)</sup>.

Although studies of genetic variability such as pedigree analysis or genetic markers have not been carried out in this study, the results presented are supported. However, when investigating hairless pigs raised in Mexico, used genetic resources and observed that the morphological variables present phenotypic variation, their relations, and dimensions constitute the racial pattern and that these criteria can be considered as selection objectives <sup>(18)</sup>. The importance of technical training and support for small-scale pig producers, especially in sensitive regions like the Tocantina Region of Maranhão <sup>(19)</sup>, particularly through technical visits, property assessments, and discussions, illustrates how education and extension services can contribute to enhancing animal welfare, production efficiency, and sustainability in the pig production chain <sup>(20)</sup>.

## 5 CONCLUSION

The pigs studied in São Luís showed morphological and external characteristics with a slight similarity to foreign breeds (Landrace e Large White). It is believed that due to the genetic influence of the strains created in the intensive pig production systems and the demand of the consumer market on the São Luís - MA Island. The zoometric indices of the animals studied were similar to other studied breeds. Being able to characterize them as a productive and technically economical group.

## 6 INTEREST CONFLICT

The authors declare that there is no interest conflict.

## 7 ETHICS COMMITTEE

The research did not use animals, and it is not necessary to issue a favorable opinion by the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals. All interviewed breeders signed the Free and Informed Consent Form –TCLE.

## 8 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and the Fundação Maranhão de Apoio à Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FAPEMA).

## REFERENCES

1. ABPA, Associação Brasileira de Proteína Animal. Relatório anual. <http://abpa-br.com.br/storage/files/relatorio-anual-2018.pdf>. Accessed 21 feb 2023.

2. Anjos CM, Gois FD, Pereira, CMC. Desmistificando a carne suína. *Pubvet* 2018; 12(136): 1-10.
3. Cesconeto RJ. Genética de paisagem de suínos no Brasil: identificação de assinaturas de seleção para estudos de conservação e caracterização de rebanhos [Tese]. Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; 2016.
4. Parés-Casanova PM. Introductory chapter-morphometric studies: beyond pure anatomical form analysis. *New Insights into Morphometry Studies* 2017; 1-3.
5. Leonard SM, Xin H, Ramirez BC, Stinn JP, Dutta S, Liu K, Brown-Brandl TM. Static and Dynamic Space Usage of Late-Gestation Sows. *Transactions of the ASABE* 2021; 64(1):151-159.
6. Bozzi R, Skrlep M, Lenoir H, Lebret B, Garcia Gasco JM, Petig M, Charneca R, Paixim H, Karolyi D, Radović Ć, Gallo M, Geraci C, Usai G, Quintanilla R, Araujo JP, Razmaite V, Gvozdanović K. Survey of demographic and phenotypic data of local pig breeds of TREASURE Project. *Archivos de Zootecnia* 2018; 1- 4.
7. Sibhatu KT, Qaim M. Rural food security, subsistence agriculture, and seasonality. *PloS one*, 2017; 12(10): e0186406.
8. IBGE – INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICAS. Censo Demográfico 2010. Estado do Maranhão, 2018. <http://www.ibge.gov.br>. Accessed 25 feb 2023.
9. Souza EB, Carmo AMC, Moraes BC, Nacif A, Ferreira DBS, Rocha EJP, Souza PJOP. Sazonalidade da precipitação sobre a Amazônia Legal Brasileira: clima atual e projeções futuras usando o modelo regcm4 (Seasonal Precipitation Over the Brazilian Legal Amazon: Climate Current and Future Projections Using REGCM4 Model). *Revista Brasileira de Climatologia* 2016; 18:293-306.
10. Silva Filha OL, Filho EP, Silva LPG, Pereira WE, Oliveira RJF, Delgado JV, Sereno JRB. Body Morphometry of Local Pigs of Curimataú Paraibano. Characterization of Factors. *Revista Computadorizada de Producción Porcina Volumen* 2010; 17(3):203-207.
11. Vicente A, Pereira L, Carolino N, Carolino MI, Gama LT. Caracterização morfológica do porco” Malhado de Alcobaça”. *Revista Suinicultura* 2006; 1(47-56).
12. Velázquez GM, Ponce SIV, Izquierdo AV, Torres EC, Covarrubias AC, Colín DC, Aguilar MD, Jaquez JAM, Silva FEM, Utrera AR, Murillo VEV, López FJR. Morfometría del cerdo de traspatio en áreas rurales de México. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Pecuarias* 2016; 7(4):431-440.
13. Pugliese C, Sirtori F. Quality of meat and meat products produced from southern European pig breeds. *Meat Science* 2012; 90(3):511-518.
14. Hernández AÁ, García Munguía CA, García Munguía AM, Ortiz Ortiz JR, Sierra Vásquez ÁC, Morales Flores S. Sistema de producción del Cerdo Pelón Mexicano en la Península de Yucatán. *Nova scientia* 2020; 12(24):1-22.
15. Rivas GL, Ramón A, Ortega Z, Lenin Y. Caracterización morfológica del cerdo criollo (*Sus scrofa domesticus*) en el municipio de Nueva Guinea, RAACS [tese]. Universidad Nacional Agraria; 2017.
16. Martínez Velázquez G, Román Ponce SI, Vélez Izquierdo A, Cabrera Torres E, Cantú Covarrubias A, Cruz Colín LDL, Ruiz López FDJ. Morfometría del cerdo de traspatio en áreas rurales de México. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Pecuarias* 2016; 7(4):431-440.
17. Miranpuri GS, Schomberg DT, Stan P, Chopra A, Buttar S, Wood A, Radzin A, Meudt JJ, Resnick DK, Shanmuganayagam D. Comparative Morphometry of the Wisconsin Miniature Swine<sup>TM</sup>/

sup> Thoracic Spine for Modeling Human Spine in Translational Spinal Cord Injury Research. *Annals of Neurosciences* 2018; 25:210-218.

18. Ramírez-Reyes JM, García-Robles E, Medellín-Cazares A, Osorto-Hernández W, Domínguez-Viveros J. Caracterización genética y fenotípica de una población de cerdo pelón mexicano. *Archivos de zootecnia* 2020; 69(268):398-404.

19. Acioly T, Dias IN, Santos DA, Maciel MS, Viana DC. Caracterização e observações sobre a suinocultura familiar e seu papel no contexto rural da região tocantina do Maranhão. *Revista Ensaios Pioneiros*, 2023;7(1):104-115.

20. Viana DC, Anunciacao ARA, Santos AC, Rui LA, Prado AAF. Incidência de cisticercose suína através da inspeção de animais abatidos no abatedouro municipal de Imperatriz entre 2000 a 2010, Maranhão, Brasil. *Enciclopédia Biosfera*, 2012; 8(15):1-9.

## SOBRE O ORGANIZADOR

**EDUARDO EUGENIO SPERS** realizou pós-doutorado na Wageningen University (WUR), Holanda, e especialização no IGIA, França. Possui doutorado em Administração pela Universidade de São Paulo (USP). Foi Professor do Programa de Mestrado e Doutorado em Administração e do Mestrado Profissional em Comportamento do Consumidor da ESPM. Líder do tema Teoria, Epistemologia e Métodos de Pesquisa em Marketing na Associação Nacional de Pós-Graduação e Pesquisa em Administração (ANPAD). Participou de diversos projetos de consultoria e pesquisa coordenados pelo PENZA e Markestrat. É Professor Titular no Departamento de Economia, Administração e Sociologia, docente do Mestrado em Administração e Coordenador do Grupo de Extensão MarkEsalq no campus da USP/Esalq. Proferiu palestras em diversos eventos acadêmicos e profissionais, com diversos artigos publicados em periódicos nacionais e internacionais, livros e capítulos de livros sobre agronegócios, com foco no marketing e no comportamento do produtor rural e do consumidor de alimentos.

## ÍNDICE REMISSIVO

### A

Acolchados 142, 143, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 157, 158, 159

Adaptability 162, 163, 167

Ajedrez 88

América 8, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 39, 65, 68, 69

Animal production 162

Animal protein 162

Antocianinas 38, 39, 40, 43

Aprehender 88, 89

Aprovechamiento 2, 26, 27, 33, 34, 92, 102, 153

### B

Bacterias 7, 53, 54, 55, 59, 63, 66, 73, 79, 81, 83, 102, 174, 178, 181

Bebidas não lácteas 116

Bioaccessibilidade 116, 119, 120, 125, 127, 128, 129, 133, 134

Bioactividade 116, 124, 132

### C

Calibre 11, 14, 159

Caña de azúcar 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87

CIELab system 17, 18, 19, 20, 24

Cinza de biomassa de cana-de-açúcar 172, 173, 181

Citrus aurantifolia 11, 16, 142, 143, 144, 160

Colour 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 160

Compost 93, 94, 96, 98, 99, 106, 111, 113

Conservación del suelo 2

### D

Diaforina 143

Digestibilidade 114, 115, 116, 117, 119, 127, 129, 130, 135, 136

Digestión anaeróbica 100, 101, 103, 112

## E

Energía 28, 100, 101, 126

Enfermedades 12, 13, 15, 33, 35, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 100, 101, 142, 155, 159

Excretas de perro 101

Exportación 3, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37

## F

Frutos 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 29, 31, 32, 144, 156, 173

## G

Glifosato oxidoreductasa 45

Glomus clarum 172, 173, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180

Guanábana 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37

## H

Heartwood 17, 18, 20, 22, 24

Herbicida 44, 45, 158

Hongos 3, 7, 53, 54, 55, 58, 62, 65, 69, 78, 79, 83

Huanglongbing 10, 11, 16, 142, 143, 144, 159, 160

## I

Integrar 88, 89, 90

## L

Leguminosas 2, 3, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 122, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136

## M

Maíz azul 38, 39, 40, 42

Mecanismo de resistencia 44, 45

Micorriza arbuscular 172

Morphometry 162, 168, 170

## P

Plantas 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 14, 35, 44, 45, 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 71, 72, 73, 76, 79, 81, 82, 83, 95,

115, 133, 146, 150, 157, 159, 172, 173, 174, 175, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182

Polímero natural 2

Polímero sintético 2

Problemas 3, 7, 10, 44, 78, 88, 89, 95, 100, 101, 110, 111, 113, 117, 144, 155

Producción 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 89, 91, 92, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 107, 108, 110, 111, 112, 113, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 151, 152, 153, 155, 156, 157, 159, 160, 170

## Q

Quitina 2, 3, 8

## R

Raspajo 93, 94, 95, 96, 98

Resíduos 93, 94, 95, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 113, 116, 134, 172

## S

Sapwood 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24

Solubilização de fosfato 172

Soluciones 88, 101

Subproductos agroindustriales 93

## T

Tamaño de semilla 39

## V

Valor comercial 11, 14, 30

Vermicompost 93, 94, 96, 99

Virus 53, 54, 55, 61, 62, 64, 65, 68, 69, 76, 77, 78, 79, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 157, 160

## Z

Zea mays 39, 43, 182